

Committee: Planning Applications

Date: 18th July 2019

Subject: Planning Appeal Decisions

Lead officer: Head of Sustainable Communities

Lead member: Chair, Planning Applications Committee

Recommendation:

That Members note the contents of the report.

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 For Members' information recent decisions made by Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in respect of recent Town Planning Appeals are set out below.
- 1.2 The relevant Inspectors decision letters are not attached to this report but can be viewed by following each individual link. Other agenda papers for this meeting can be viewed on the Committee Page of the Council Website via the following link:

[LINK TO COMMITTEE PAGE](#)

DETAILS

Application Numbers: **17/P2440**
Site: Land rear of 1A York Road, South Wimbledon SW19 8TP
Development: Erection of part 3 storey mixed use with commercial space on ground & lower ground levels & 3 x flats on first & second floors, plus a 2 storey block (with lower ground level) comprising 3 x flats with amenity space, parking and landscaping.
Recommendation: Refused (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **ALLOWED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 3rd June 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

[Link to Costs Decision](#)

Application Numbers: **17/P4219**
Site: Vantage House, 1 Weir Road, Wimbledon SW19 8UX
Development: Erection of additional storey to create 5 x flats, moving bin store to lower ground level; with the removal of plant room and telecom equipment. Plus formation of community garden over existing car park
Recommendation: (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **ALLOWED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 25th June 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P1670**
Site: Alpine Works, Hallowell Close Mitcham CR4 2QD
Development: Erection of front extension and a first floor roof extension.
Recommendation: Refused (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 31st May 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P2256**
Site: 33 Graham Road, Mitcham, CR4 2HB
Development: Conversion of existing dwellinghouse to create 5 x self-contained flats, involving erection of two storey rear and side extensions
Recommendation: Refused (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 26th June 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P2465**
Site: 58 Haynt Walk, Raynes Park, London, SW20 9NX
Development: Erection of a two storey dwellinghouse
Recommendation: Refused (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 26th June 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P2661**
Site: 27 Cochrane Road, London SW19 3QP
Development: Conversion of dwellinghouse into 3 x self-contained flats, involving erection of a single and two storey side extension and a single storey rear extension plus a hip to gable with L-shaped rear roof extension
Recommendation: Refused (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **ALLOWED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 26th June 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P4021**
Site: 130 Gladstone Road, Wimbledon SW19 1QW
Development: Erection of a single storey rear extension
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 28th June 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **18/P4482**
Site: 24 Middle Way, Streatham SW16 4HN
Development: Conversion of two storey side extension into self-contained dwelling involving erection of single storey rear and front extension
Recommendation: dismissed (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 26th June 2019

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Alternative options

- 3.1 The appeal decision is final unless it is successfully challenged in the Courts. If a challenge is successful, the appeal decision will be quashed and the case returned to the Secretary of State for re-determination. It does not follow necessarily that the original appeal decision will be reversed when it is re-determined.

3.2 The Council may wish to consider taking legal advice before embarking on a challenge. The following applies: Under the provision of Section 288 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, or Section 63 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, a person or an establishment who is aggrieved by a decision may seek to have it quashed by making an application to the High Court on the following grounds: -

1. That the decision is not within the powers of the Act; or
2. That any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with; (relevant requirements means any requirements of the 1990 Act or of the Tribunal's Land Enquiries Act 1992, or of any Order, Regulation or Rule made under those Acts).

1 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

1.1. None required for the purposes of this report.

2 TIMETABLE

2.1. N/A

3 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

3.1. There are financial implications for the Council in respect of appeal decisions where costs are awarded against the Council.

4 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

4.1. An Inspector's decision may be challenged in the High Court, within 6 weeks of the date of the decision letter (see above).

5 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

5.1. None for the purposes of this report.

6 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None for the purposes of this report.

7 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. See 6.1 above.

8 BACKGROUND PAPERS8.1. The papers used to compile this report are the Council's Development Control service's Town Planning files relating to the sites referred to above and the agendas and minutes of the Planning Applications Committee where relevant.